

CS500 Jan 13, 2017 PhD Qualifying Exam

70 points = 140%

Assignment 1 (6+ 5+ 4+ 5 points):

- a) Specify (!) and describe three *significantly* different algorithms for sorting n given keys, together with their asymptotic computational worst-case costs. (No proofs required.)
- b) Set up and justify a recurrence for the number $T(n)$ of steps performed by the recursive sorting algorithm `stoogeSort` shown below when called with `left=0` and `right=n-1`.

```

1  procedure stoogeSort(int array[], int left, int right)
2  if array[left]>array[right] then swap(array[left],array[right]) fi
3  if (right - left + 1) < 3 then return fi
4  int third := ( right - left + 1 ) / 3      // rounding down
5  stoogeSort(array, left, right-third)
6  stoogeSort(array, left+third, right)
7  stoogeSort(array, left, right-third)
8  endproc

```

- c) Let `array[]=(3,6,5,2,1,4)`, initially, and consider the call `stoogeSort(array,0,5)`. Write the contents of the array and variables after execution of (i) line #4, (ii) line #5, (iii) line #6, and (iv) line #7. (Do not expand the recursive calls themselves, though; instead peruse the fact that `stoogeSort` correctly sorts arrays of size up to 4...)
- d) Prove that the asymptotic growth of any non-decreasing $f:[1;\infty)\rightarrow[1;\infty)$ satisfying $f(n)=b\cdot f(n/a)$ for all $n\geq a$ is $f(n)=\Theta(n^{\ln(b)/\ln(a)})$, if $1<a<b$ are fixed.

Reminder from calculus: $a^x = e^{x\cdot\ln(a)}$, $\log_y(a) = \ln(a)/\ln(y)$, $\ln(3)/\ln(1.5)\approx 2.71$

Assignment 2 (5+ 5 points):

- a) Explain in few sentences, and give examples demonstrating, the differences between (i) a program/code, (ii) an algorithm, and (iii) a heuristic.
- b) Briefly explain the difference between (i) cost of an algorithm and (ii) computational complexity of a problem, for instance by comparing Assignments 1a) and 1b).

Problem 3 (4+ 5+ 6+ 4+ 6 points):

- a) What is the *worst-case* cost (=number of bit flips) of once incrementing a binary counter containing any integer between 0 and $n-1$, asymptotically as $n \rightarrow \infty$? Justify your answer by (i) exhibiting an example where that many bit flips do occur and (ii) by proving that more bit flips cannot occur.
- b) Analyze the *amortized* cost of a binary counter with operation **INC**. That is, when counting in binary from 0 to n , determine the total number of bit flips, divided by n asymptotically as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Again, justify your answer!
- c) Now analyze the *amortized* cost of a binary counter with both operations **INC** and **DEC**. That is, determine the total number of bit flips, divided by n , incurred in the worst case by any combination of n calls to **INC** and/or **DEC** asymptotically as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Justify! (Initially the counter contains zero, and decrementing zero returns zero again...)
- d) Analyze the *worst-case* cost of the following algorithm, asymptotically as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Given an n -tuple $(b_1 \dots b_n)$ of bits, scan for the (index j of the) first bit that is non-zero; in case all b_j are zero, count to $2^n - 1$ and stop.
- e) Now analyze its asymptotic *average* cost. Justify your answers!

Problem 4 (5+ 5+ 5 points): Suppose \mathcal{A} is a randomized algorithm solving the decision problem L in time $t(n)$ with *one-sided* error $\frac{1}{2}$ independently of n : On inputs $x \notin L$, \mathcal{A} always correctly reports **false**; but on inputs $x \in L$, \mathcal{A} might also report **false** with probability $\frac{1}{2}$.

- a) Design and analyze an algorithm \mathcal{A}' that, by repeating \mathcal{A} an appropriate (which?) number N of times, errs with probability $\leq 2^{-100-n}$, where n denotes the (known) length of x .
- b) Analyze the (i) *worst* and (ii) *expected* cost of the following randomized 'algorithm':
Flip a fair coin. If it comes out **heads**, stop; otherwise repeat.
- c) Prove $\sum_n n \cdot p^n = p/(1-p)^2$ for all $|p| < 1$. **Hint:** Cancel one p and compare anti-derivatives.